The beliefs and opinions that come to dominate a person's adult impressionable life take root in his/childhood years, those years when he is ID vulnerable to so many outside influences and his mind a blank slate or in contemporary metaphore an empty computor disc. Every experience is indelably recorded and with difficulty later amended or rejected. To change one's fundamental beliefs early acquired would require # agouzup deep seated psychological and agonozing self-appraisal which only a traumantic event could call forth. Parental influence, where it receives noncoersive attention within an atmosphere of warm, loving mutual family relationships involving/respect forxanthorityxx between adults does no and children and between siblings and depends not simply on arbitrary authority, becomes the foundation not merely of principled behavior XXXXXXXXXXXX but of beliefs and convictions, material and ethical, in later life.

The influences that directed my mental and moral development were from a Darminian father and a humanist mother. My father was a young man at the time of Darwin's revolutionary ideas on biological succession. With a group of contemporaries, young men and women of Chicago, who <u>Hue Ag assiz</u> were similarly influenced, he helped found an association that met frequently to discuss and exchange ideas on current scientific theories in biology, geology and evolution. My father became a dedicated protagonist of the scientific interpretation of natural phenomena with an unshakeable belief in causality and a fierce rejection of purpose as a driving force in the universe. And perhaps because he was the . only child of a widowed mother, the wife **af** an episcopal minister who died when my father was five years old, had been brought up under the strict gui/dance of the episcopal faith, and retained, if not the religiore, but very strochly its moral precepts.

My maternal grandparents were Unitarians. Mother's mother Lucy Wadsworth whom I remember as a sweet and affectional granny died when I was still very young. maternal grandfather,William Eliot Furness,for whom I was named My Motherisxifictur was a veteran of the Civil War, a major who commanded a Megro batallion that i never saw action. My mother's older sister Grace died of tuberqulosis in California, a younger sister Margaret WMM survived her, and a/brother Jim WMM died of in Cuba typhoid/in the Spanish American war. My mother attended Eryn Mawr College where she develope a literary taste, made life-long friends, some of whom became associated with the Jane Adams' Hull House in Chicago. I suspect that it was not only family tradition but these axemistionex friendshipd that encouraged an emotional bias for a/femenist and racial point of view. She supported women's rights, the suffragist political movement, and racial equality, and the progressive ithexat movements.

It was against this background that my beliefs in many spheres of concern took shape. As a young man under the influence of Darwin's disclaimed writings my father professed agnosticism; in later years he xexperies such qualified scepticism and pronounced his disbelief in thexexixtaxxex mf a god or the need for a supernatural explanation of existance as inconsistant with a purposeless world. We children were never taken to church. The only contact we had with religious ceremonies next door was at Sunday dinner/at Grandmother's nextxamor when she always said grace before the meal. Although he seldom talked about his anti-religious my father's beliefs it is not surprising that I absorbed MMA point of view. Years later, how unknowingly www beliefs are passed on to one's children was dramatically demonstrated, by xxxxxx quite out of the blue, by a son who asked, "Daddy, do you blieve in God?" I was taken aback and tried to evade the question by saying there were differences of ppinoin on the question Explanat but was interupted by his saying, "I know you don't believe in Gog, Dadday." My father's other influences were much more positive. He took us children camping and on Sunday walks and talked to us at length about geology, palx paleontology, and astronomy and about marine biology during our summers in Maine. My father was at heart perhaps most profibudly in me, a naturalist, instilled into his children a fascination with the

natural world and perhaps most profoundly in me.

My father's standardsof moral conduct were equally positive. Lying and cheating were inexcusable **DENERSIST**; only **EXERCISE** untruthes to avoid hurt feelings **NERE** tolerable. He didn't lecture us on these ethical matters; it was by example that we learned them live by his to honor and **EXER SAME** priciples of behavior.

It was through my mother's influence that I learned racial and religious tolerance, or more correctly was not exposed to social predjudices. Not until I was sixteen and went away to boarding school did I learn about ethnical distinctions and how they subverted personal and social judgements. I knew not the distinction between jews and nonjews because it was a difference to which I had not been exposed. The term Christian being uncommon in my family I did not place myself in any particular catagory of belief. In the suburban community in which I grew up and went to school lived very few Megroes who were by tradition and my grandfather's Civil War experience the freed people. We were also exposed to differences of political opinion. My father was Republican throughout his life whereas mother when women attained the franchise voted Democratic or for third party candidates which encouraged in her children a tolerance for not always acceptable political views. An example of intelerance that had a lasting effect on me occurred during my first year in boarding school. Thex XIXXX World War I was drawing to a close and the Russian Revolution had deposed the Gzar. A young teacher of history and government described to his class the working of the Kerenski government. Word got wround that he was subverting his students by promoting bolshevism and was summarily dismissed. The chairman of the board of the school, an old man in his dotage, was called upon to address the assembled school to explain what had happened to the popular teacher. He was fired, he told us because our minds were being poisened by this dragon of Bolchevism,

My father's influence on my developing interests in science and nature was supplamented by Mr. Boyle my Kigh School chemistry teacher. Chemistry became an overriding interest which lasted though education my xxxxxxxx formal university and medical school xxxxx and with diminishing intensity for ten years thereafter until photography ultimately of teachers, associates and mentors. The influences that guided the course of my interests supplanted it. during these erry years drove me in a direction that I slowly began horses and to recognize could not lead to the fulfillment of my aspirations. was not the dedicated scientist I had so romantically envisioned my highschool chemistry class. I had entrapped myself, been encouraged to do so by family and scoial pressure, in the belief that I could be mak discoveries and contribute, to the upraveling of the complexities of how they hopen The Discoveries were elusive although simultaniously recording I enjoyed depicting the outward appreances of things by photography. I suppose I might have used some other medium had circumstaces been different but photograph, was a means that any one conversmat with the elementary chemistry could learn by himself,

In the beginning, however, it was not photography that held my vouthful attention. It was nature and a fascination with birds. In the spring time when not encarcerated in school and during the summer vacations I spent many hours hunting for birds nests, learned byx simple xxxxxxxx their identities and characteristics and by the xxconscious observation . processes of awareness something of the habits and behavior of their creators. I collected bird's eggs but I did not rob the bird's nest, a morally unacceptable act; I waited until the young had fledged when occasionally there would be an infertile egg left behind in the nest Because my father was an enthusiastic amateur/photographer, who took landscapes with anxEastnan a postcard size Kodak on all his camping trips, WAXXXXXEN IxwasxatittrauitexxounaxwhenxIxmaairedxaxbexxEramnie I was given a box Brownie when I was still quite young. With this instrument I tried unsuccessfully to photograph the nest I found. A year or two later in Maine during axxisit an expedition to a heron rookery on a neighboring island I photographed with the brownie a terrified juvenile great blue heron standing a in a raspberry thicket that we had frightened from its nest by our intrusion. The picture turned out to be one of those flucks of photography, much better than anything I had made before which so impressed my father that he had an enlargement of it made. On my next birthday I was given an Eastman folding Kodak with a top shutter speed of one threehundredth of a second. With this camera I started photographing the larger bixed common birds of the Maine coast, gulls, terns and ax fish hawks.

Gradually, photographing birds became a major summer avocation. Eventually I acquired a graphlex camera with a focal plane shutter speed of 1/1000 sec/, short enough to stop the motion of large birds in flight. As the years went by my interest shifted to the small passerine birds that were native in large numbers to my Maine island in the breeding season. I became enchanted by the colorful warblers of which ten to twelve species nested there. Sot they were almost impossible in the

1 my soza. be shady conditions prevelant in their woodland habitats, to photograph in ways to meet nel well daug & definin arrardingxto the standards styphotography I had learned to require and definition in other fields of photography in respect to the overall clarity/of the image. Host of the photographs of birds published in ornithological generous journals and popular magazinfes were by even the most forebaring standards the presentation of aesthetic standards completely xxxxtixfartery unacceptable. That there was an image of a bird only half in focus, unidentifiable, but never before photographed, in an environment not contributive to the meaningfulness the picture as a whole was satisfactory to most critics who had a low opinion of photography in any case, compared to paintings of birds which after were made from collected specemins arranged by the artist and painted at To remedy this situation, would require either much faster films leasure .gh intensity or the use of/artificial light. About this time flash bulbs were invented which when used in multiple clost to a abject permitted the exposures at small apertures with an increase in definition and depth of focus. TAEX to took with this technique were of nesting birds and there in port importance surroundings were sharp. But a lot of planning for each subject was always necessary. One had to consider the position of the bird in relation to its nest and the adjacent foliage and since the behavior of the subject was not easily predictable many opportunities to make photographs had to be paned up applicable to all redigent The quiding principleAwas the quality of the total picture be important to in which everything within the frame of the camera had to count towards its aesthetic content. I deally nothing hourd be estimations. 10

However

i was

aluri

The chief disadvantage of the flash bulb technique is that the ed MAXIMUM MARGAXINA shutter speed of the camera determined the shortest which who exposere time available,-usually about 1/200 of a second, was not fast enoughto stop wing motion with the result that many pictures were spoiled stopped motion by the subject moving during the exposure. About this time I saw some/ photographs of a hummingbird in flight by Harold Edgerton. His technique wax depended on high voltage discharge of electricity through specially

designed tubes producing a flash of light. The flash duration, which could be made as short as desired by proper design_determined the exposure and could easily be synchronied with a between-lens shutter. I wrote to Edgerton asking for information about the apparatus and he very generously sent me plans for its construction, which I immediately set about to do. The device worked well but was unsatisfactory because the light output was too low to use with the small apertures I needed for maximum depth of field. The next step was to obtain more suitable equipment. I consulted Strobo Research, a commercial laboratory, and it build a special flash unit to meet my requirements. It had a high light output at 1/5000 sec. distributed between three lamps which could be arranged to give natural illumination and when placed three feet from the subject and & 4×5 View Concera permitted exposures at f/45. With this equipment I was able to obtain but that must the standard officefle 7 sharp, photographs of birds in motion and other field of Vnese photographs were more meaningful any I had made before because one was not limited by internation the and could plan each subject from & most favorable aspect so that every object within the frame of the camera contributed to the unity. of the final pictupe. My camera, a 4x5 view camera, was interest a a book of there litro When I tried to infigure a publisher in the photographs I was told they could not be published because theyxwerexinxblack andxwhitex birds could not be identified in black and white; that they should be done in color. This is what started me photographing with the recently introduced Kodachrome film. I used for other subjects, was the equipment with which I learned

that everything included within the picture area must fourth towards contribute to the integrity of the whole; Meally nothing should be

And Since I usually had color film with my camera I began using besides birds ? it/photograph other things, mostly close-ups of natural subjects, some of which I had photographed before in black and white, although Color film gradually stimulated a new kind of vision. Things that I had passed ap hefore as dull subjects in black and white, in color were transformed into XEXEXDECAME brilliant and vibrant objects. Decaying wood, dead leaves, lichens tree bark/became things of beauty to which previously I had been blind. recoded As I beganning these fresh experiences I began to realize that I was seei ng the world in a new light. Previous black and white subjects were much more general, much more conventional; they were wider views, architecture and landscapes, but with color I zeroed in to the closer horizons. The big views did not attract me as they had for black and white, which I think is because they become superficial and cheapened in color. Blue skies I found particularly objectionable; clouds, as they always do, help, whereas a general overcast often improved the situation. Atmospheric conditions strikingly influence the photographic possibilities of coloser subjects. In subdued light colors become more harmonious/which is lost in bright sunlight doe to excessive contrast even though the colors may actually bg brighter. Woodland scenes are almost impossible to photograph in color on sunny days because mf the spots of sun light that speckle the ground they become are soo intense/and disturbing elements in the subject. There are, however no invaniable rules for optimal conditions for photography, or as a matter of fact for composition either. A Bopular subjects for the af amateur and/beginning photographeraie flowers. whose attraction is based on long established rmantic appeal, awesome beauty and the mystery of their very existence. To express these emotions the photographer will usually focus on the blossum isolating it from all connection with its environment to produce a botanical illustration With or flower catalogue picture of the flower against a nebulous background.

This style of blowce thought rellow contribute to the art of platerally not to say that it can't be done only that flowers selder

are photographed in this style creatively. What is missing in my opinio is context; followers do not exist in isolation except in horticulture. In the wild **thextxtixxt** every part of the habitat is integral to the whole and necessary to a resolution of the appeal β_{j} both emotional and intellectual that drew the attention of the photographer in the first place.

And so I began to accumulate pictures on a great variety of subjects other than of birds, although birds remained my major interest. (I tried in vain to interest & publishers in a book on birds, which was rejected on grounds of cost. Color reproduction in the forties was unheard of for book publication; its use being in advertising. My wife told me that my nature photographs principally in reminded her of Thoreau and that I might be better adised to consider on Thorean using allustrating a book of quotations from Thomas and to set aside the birds for the time being since competitionxwithxthexpopularxillustrators DEXDirds popular Illustrations of birds, was too great much of a to participages to/acceptance/. I started reading Waldon, which at first I found dull, and went on to his other books and ultimately to his journals, becoming more and more enchanted with what I found. luto quela Thoreau described the things that attracted me as subjects te photograph; They were not bigx xiews the small things of the woods and fields, the intimate relationships, not the big views, and they had to be photographed in color to illustrate and compliment Thoreau's words.

For several years I worked on the Phoreau book, put together an album with carried presentationx ropy with quotations and photographs that to me expressed the same message, which I presented to publishers with no more success than I had had with the birds correlated. For several years I worked on & book of photographs withassociated hotomofes with words of Thoreau that to me expressed the same feeling, which I dum reowed as a mockup I presented to publishers with no greater success than I had had with the birds. Eventually it was published by the Sierra Club under the title "In Wildness Is The Preservation Of The World", a quotation from Thoreau's essay on walking. Up to this time I had not given much the thought to environmental issues or/conservation of nature but on becoming forcefully associated with the Sierra Club these matters were brought/to my attention and I became a strongxxxxxxxxxxxxxx and life long supporter of these movements. I was converted to -- what in the circles of the ruthless developers and are exploiters af our natural resources/disparagingly termed- an environmentalist. ythemist My photographs of the natural scepe were considered to carry a conservation be a contribution message and/valuable forxapportator the cause of environmental protection. But. THEEXtheyxcouldxbextestaxcouldxidexporpase. /I never, howevery because photographed with that purpose in mind. / My over-riding involvement with photography, above all other considerations, was the artistic potential of the medium. They could, however, bexasedxis serve a positive purpose to depict illustrate ecological relationships and the most appealing aspects of the quotivated (inspired) by the natural scene. On the other hand I have seldom been interested in the to photograph phazagraphingxtaxxhamxthe negative approach to conservation byxphotographingx produced thaughtiess the devastation ranged by ruthiessxexpioitationx & carekessix the weekthess exploiters. When I became seriously involved in photography back in the thirties

Ansel under the influence of/Adams and Stieglitz, the f/64 school dominated the hung form and field. The accepted technique was straight photography, no manipulation

of the marking month was a such a suc previous healthy reaction to the preceeding era of soft focus sentimentality. of photography's initating self?conscious justification by imitating painting. Tinting of prints and combined negatives were scorned by the pure photographers. To rely on these proceedures manifest a failure to appreciate thex and to potentials exploit the unique properties of the photographic process that setsit apart possibilities from all other media. And it demonstrated a paucity of imagination for the/ everywhere materia of for photographic applications, of the infinite variety of subjects. In recent years there has begun a full cycle turn away from straight photography use back again to the manipulation of the image, but this time by the (application) of numerous sophisticated techniques. Anything now goes. And concomitantly (simultaniously) with this new vision a turning away from natural subjects is the accepted evident. Nature is considered passe and trite; / subjects are contrived and surreal. I do not (off-hand reject these trends, when sensitively applied they do contribute to the art of photography, but it is a pitty that literal representationalism should be disparagedat a time when the maximax nonmaterial EXXEGNMENT values of the natural environment are being sacrificed for the economic profit. Beauty in wildnessxis the natural world is generally recognized degrade beauty its for but wildness is feared with a willingness to sarrificexitxforxthe more and (substantial/extractable properties, the result of the suburbanization of society.

Another controversy in within the photographic field developed with the advent of color film. The leaders in the school of straight photography nearly unamimously rejected color photography as being too literal and restrictive permitting little freedom for interpretation, and therefore was a less creative process than black and white. Not being one of the original f/64 group, less strictly bound by its premeses, and because I adopted color out using film is as the only means by which to accomplish my purpose in bird, photography and subsequent goals, I took strong exception to this criticism. The critics

failed to apply to color photography the principles they had so successfully promoted for black and white photography. They did not appreciate the added dimension color gave to certain subjects, that its use was not amount to simply a matter of copying nature, that interpretation was possible selective with through/emphasis just as in blas shades of gray. They did not make prints so they never understood that more controls were avaulable than in black and white to obtain a desired result in the printing process. In spite of this denegration by the photographers whom I most admired and some who profoundly influenced me, I persisted with color photography although I never gave up black & white entirely. They are distinct media, each has its particular area for creative expression which cannot be successfully invaded by the other. This distinction is fortunately becoming more and more recognized so that finally color photography is acquiring an established place in photographic art.

Jud As one's beliefs in x the social, economic, and political XXXXXX fields originate are implanted, develop, and, (it is to be hoped), purified of prejudice too x during the course of a long life, so/for those whose lives have been devoted to pursuits in the arts and sciences a reevaluation, rejection of old and adoption of new ideas, is inevitable. For me these changes aesthetic have lead to a somewhat different point of view on the/acceptability of various styles of photography; some that I once felt critical of I now feel more tolerant towards and others that had positivel influenced my seeing I now found ordinary and uninspiring.