Conserve Heritage
Tp ~~Protect~~ Our Natural ~~Environment~~
For the Good of Mankind

The immediate overwhelming impression I had on seeing the first clear picture of the earth taken by the Apollo 8 astronauts from thousands of miles out was the ~~smallness~~ insignificance, the isolation, the loneliness of our planet in the immensity of space. I looked long at that picture of the streaked white and blue sphere, becoming increasingly awestruck by the thought of all that has been going on for so many hundreds of millions of years on this speck of matter. Every manifestation of the phenomenon called life, beginning with the dim record found in ancient rocks and evolving through uncountable variations up to the myriad living forms of today, originated on the surface of this small globe, powered by the thermonuclear fires of one star. And a more terrifying thought came to me that this amazing phenomenon, which began as a result of the coincidence ~~of~~ most improbable ~~circumstances~~, could be wiped out by inherent failures of the phenomenon itself. The destruction might occur catastrophically, or it might occur insidiously over a long period of time through mismanagement by the most sophisticated product of that evolution - man himself. But I realized too, with ironic satisfaction, that however it might happen the planet would continue to ride a predetermined orbit around its star unchanged in outward appearance. Tragic only to the conscious product of the experiment, the event would go unnoticed in the totality of the universe. The experiment of life - the only one we know about - is answerable solely to and for itself. Self-contained, self- generating, and potentially self-destroying its future for all time is encoded in

the basic genetic structure of all living substance. If the genetic material is destroyed life ceases.

Without doubt the most remarkable endowment of life is intelligence. It gives to man, in whom it has attained its highest development, unique powers of self-examination and self-control. He can if he wished direct his own future. The difficulty is not with capability. Knowledge he has in plentiful supply and he is continuously storing up information at a prdigious rate. The difficulty lies with the self-assumed quality, wisdom. Wisdom is what gives men the ability to judge the best course of action in terms of their own self-interest. The farther into the future they are able to project the determination of self-interest the wiser they are.

The greatest benefit which could come from the space program is a deeper understanding of the responsibility man bears for the continuation of life on ~~the~~ his home planet ~~he inhabits~~. The photographs of the earth from outer space give a new perspective on his world, from which comes the knowledge that the health and ultimate survival of the human race depend entirely on the restraint and wisdom he exercises in the exploitation of the finite resources of the earth, and that no resource from outside will be available to repair the damage done by his reckless acts.

Man has arrived at a crossroad in his destiny by the diligent application of knowledge to the manipulation of his environment. One direction leads surely to oblivion for himself and for many, if not all, of his fellow passengers on the planet. Others lead perhaps to better solutions but by no easy routes. All ways will be beset by difficulty, by hard choices, and by the severest tests of his intelligence and wisdom. The direction which seems to promise the greatest rewards, the surest fulfillment of the hopes and aspirations

of his troubled and inquiring spirit, and of a distand and ultimate happiness, is a course of least arrogance towards his living companions; a course even less negative than the absence of superiority - a course of humble respect for life, a sympathy which sustains a recognition of the essential interdependence of all living things.

Stimulated by military necessity during World War II, technology in the United States, not a battlefield of the war as were most of her allies, made enormous advances in inovative developments of which the harnessing of nuclear power is the most notable. Since the end of the war the effect on the environment of man's activities has become obvious to even the casual observer. During this period a technological revolution has been in progress invading all levels of scientific endeavour from engineering to theoretical physics and biology. The impact of the revolution on society has produced many cultural changes. All sorts of new products, now considered necessities, have appeared on the market. Agricultural practice has undergone drastic revision with the introduction of cheaper chemical fertilizers and a whole spectrum of organic insecicides. The enormous economic growth engendered by this revolution raised the level of affluence of society and increased the rate of consumption, a further stimulous to the economy. Built into the rising spiral of consumption is a skyrocketing demand for power and water. And underlying and feeding this situation is the rapidly increasing population. These forces are not only changing the face of America, they are altering the whole world.

With the changes brought about by the technological revolution and the multiplication of people, the quality of life is changing. People are becoming crouded into larger

amd larger communities, partly because ~~this is the way~~ they prefer to live in close proximity to their neighbors, byt also because ~~it is~~ crouded living is cheaper. Urban sprawl is pre-empting farm lands and open space near all centers of population. The spreading goes on despite warnings that if ~~it is~~ not contained disaster will ~~be the~~ ensue. ~~end product.~~ But no solution is offered except state zoning which runs against the popular feeling that every man has a right to dispose of his property as he sees fit regardless of how he may effect the common interest. The demand for raw materials by industries is accelerating at a frightening pace. Forest are cut down to supply the lumber demanded in an ever increasing quantity, with little thought for the loss or for the future. Even the Forest Service, the official ~~conserver~~ custodian of trees, collaborates in this mining of resources by proposing a higher rate of cutting ~~on~~ in National Forests ~~land.~~ Watersheds too steep for lumbering by conservative means are denuded, exposing them to erosion with all the consequent permanent land destruction, devastating floods, and stream pollution. To supply the increasing damands for feel and power, coal companies ~~ignoring puplic warnings~~ resort to more destructive methods of strip mining, and oil ~~compa~~ corporations ignoring puplic warnings, and even the adverse information they themselves have gathered, ~~that a particular project is potentially a threat to the best interest of the community~~ - as the Santa Barbara oil disaster so dramatically ~~exemplified~~ illustrates - go ruthlessly ahead with their ~~exploitive projects~~ drilling. Coastal areas are being taken over for both nuclear and thermal power plants by utilities, because ocean water is cheapest for cooling, giving no thought to the beauty they destroy, the ~~limitations~~ curtailment they impose on recreational resources, or the ecological disruption they cause. To accommodate the increasing millions of auto-

mobiles, every year thousands of acres of land are covered with concrete and asphalt to provide parking lots and freeways. The highway departments of many states have been given the power of eminent domain which they exercise obdurately by constructing freeways through state parks and untrammelled wild areas for no better reason than to shorten the driving time by a few minutes from one place to another. Most people accept without protest these "improvements" which destroy much of the beauty of the places they were ostensibly intended to make more accessible for popular enjoyment. National Park policy has not always been to promote visitation as it is today. The concept of National Parks in the beginning were places of outstanding natural beauty and scientific ~~interest~~ value set aside for preservation ~~without alteration or interference~~ in perpetuity in their natural condition for the edification and enjoyment of all people. With the increasing wealth and mobility of Americans, with a highway system that facilitates mobility, with the promotion of parks by the National Park Service, and with the desire of most people to escape for a time the pressures of industrial civilization, more and more millions of people have been seeking respite in National Parks. Paradoxically, however, they prefer those parks which have been made most accessible and in which the most comfortable facilities have been provided, where they can enjoy conditions most like those they left behind. They croud into these parks by the thousands. They go not to see the natural wonders for which the park was established or to experience the joy of solitude of primeval nature, but to carry on all the complex activities of social intercourse available only in dense communities. Steven Roberts, writing for the New York Times, describes Yosemite today: "The constant roar in the background was not a waterfall, but traffic. Transister radios blared forth

the latest rock tunes. Parking was at a premium. Dozens of children clambered over the rocks at the base of Yosemite Falls. Campsites, pounded into dust by incessant use, were more crouded than a ghetto. Even in remote areas, campers were seldom out of sight of each other. The whole experience was something like visiting Disneyland on a Sunday."

The demand for establishing permanent recreational facilities in wild areas is becoming almost irresistable. Government agencies, legistatures, and Congress are being importuned to authorize profit motivated projects for vacationers on wild public lands except, for the present, at least on those areas specifically set aside as wilderness. ~~(Consider Disney's prposal for a ski resort in the Mineral King wild life refuge.)~~ These include the construction of marinas, lodges, ski resorts, and long term leases for summer homes, ~~including~~ with, of course, all necessary access roads. The usual defense of these projects is the claim that they are democratic and conform to national tradition, whereas to deny such developments shows favoritism for the prosperous who alone can aford the luxury of going into wilderness areas under their own resources. Nothing could, in fact , be farther from the truth. Any one who has an interest in wildness can visit an established wilderness on foot or on horseback. The most hhe needs is a knapsack and a bedroll, but the prices which prevail at lodges and resorts, though regulated by the government, are prohibitive to all but the well-to-do. The marinas on the shores of Lake Mead and Lake Powell ~~again~~ are facilities for the affluent boat owner. Before the damming of Glen Canyon people with small rafts or canoes could make the trip through the canyon with perfect safety and at little expense. Today they can get nowhere without a motor boat.

~~These demands for the development of wilderness by interests like the Disney Enterprises and the manufacturers of expensive~~

These demands for the development of wilderness by interests like the Disney Enterprises and the manufacturers of expensive equipment (campers, boats, outboard motors, snow mobiles) are not going to diminish while the economy remains strong and the population continues to grow. And there will be attempts also at subverting the intent of the Wilderness Act to allow establishments to be constructed in wilderness lands.

So far I have discussed only the changes in the environment arising from direct exploitation of material resources. These are the most obvious and visible effect. However, there are less easily discernable changes being wrought by more subtile and generally inadvertant though no less objectionable causes, and because they have widespread effects and are constantly at work on the environment, and go largely unrecognized, the injury they produce is the severest and most alarming. Adverse effects on the environment in this catagory are almost entirely the by-products of human activities. A good proportion of the harm done is an immediate consequence of industrial technology which disposes of waste products by dumping them into the atmosphere and water systems. A large number of poisonous substances are disposed of this way without regard for the effect on the environment or the health of the human inhabitants. The air around industrial regions is being heavily polluted with all sorts of organic chemicals, combustion products of fuels, and solid materials which create an opaque blanket of smog that may reduce visibility to night time conditions in cities and render the atmosphere almost unbreathable. Any one who drives on the New Jersey Turnpike near New York will have experienced this condition. Industry is well aware of the dangerous situation it creates, but disparages its significance because of the correction costs. Improvement will

be forced only by overwhelming public protest.

Among the most poisonous substances being dumped into the atmosphere are the oxides of sulfur and nitrogen. They kill plants and animals and people too. Near the town of Sudbury, Ontario, where one of the largest nickle smelters in the world is located, thousands of acres of surrounding land have been compleyely denuded of vegetation, all life destroyed, and the rocks blackened to a semblance of lava by the waste gases. Recently a powerful coal company has brought suit against the state of Missouri to enjoin enforcement of regulations governing the maximum sulfur content of coal burned in the state. While this action was in process, a situation developed in St. Louis, where the high sulfur coal supplied by the company is the common fuel, which presented a serious health hazard. Atmospheric inversion - a not uncommon occurance over the city - caused a sudden rise of sulfur dioxide in the air to the highest concentrations on record. Prompt action by the city advising people to stay in doors may have prevented a repetition of a disaster like that of London more than a decade ago. In the northwest corner of New Mexico a thermal power plant using locally mined low grade coal is pouring hundreds of tons of fly ash into the atmosphere each day, more than the solid matter released over greater New York. The particles of ash are too fine to settle; they are spread by air currents for hundreds of miles into northern New Mexico and Arizona. The Rio Grande valley is repeatedly subject days of low visibility and the smog is reaching over into the Colorado River basin. This is happening in a region which once enjoyed the clearest air in the United States, where visibility of well over one hundred miles was usual. The utility officials, the legislature, and the Governor of New Mexico have

displayed callous indifference to a situation which is rapidly worsening. Here again resistance to corrective measures is economic, but the loss in terms of ~~environmental degradation~~ a degraded environment and ~~deterioration of the~~ diminished quality of life is not taken seriously. Only massive public protest will bring a change in official attitude.

The smog that is increasing everywhere is not due solely to industrial pollutants. A large share is attributable to automobile exhaust. The automobile industry, typical of industry in general, resists invisible improvements, ~~but will~~ in engine effeciency, but will spend millions on styling. No one can see the reduced fumes, but everyone notices a new strip of chromium. We are all familiar with the plight of Los Angeles where the smog which lasts for days is now known to be caused by ~~the~~ exhaust ~~from~~ automobiles. In spite of the sore throats and irritated eyes, not to mention more serious injury ~~effects,~~ caused by the products of incomplete combustion, suffered by millions of people, automobile manufactures felt no responsibility to improve combustion efficiency until compelled by California law, and then only in automobiles for sale in California.

The dangerous products of incomplete burning of gasoline are hydrocarbon fractions, ~~carbon monoxide, and~~ the oxides of nitrogen, lead, and sulfur, and carbon monoxide. The first of these have the most insidious effect on health. Although lead is an accumulative poison, which is being distributed all over the world by automobile exhaust, and is found even on polar snows, the smog producing , incompletely burned hydrocarbons and the ~~oxides~~ gaseous oxides cause mucus membrane irritation and lung disease.

On the eastern seaboard smog from all causes is gradually invading the upper atmosphere where its presence is most dramatically evident from the air. A few years ago a layer only three thousand feet thick was observed from airplanes; now the layer is five

thousand feet thick and extends all the way from New York to Washington. At the present rate of increase ~~in a few years~~ it will reach in a few years an altitude of ten thousand feet and cover all the eastern states from Maryland to Massachusetts and beyond.

Of at least equal and perhaps more important concern to those who are anxious to prevent irreparable harm to the environment, is the incredible and continuing pollution of the lakes and rivers systems of the United States by both industry and municipalities. Fortunately, signs on the horizon point to a growing awareness by the executive and legislative branches of the national and state governments, responsive to an aroused public, of the seriousness of this state of affairs. ~~A few~~ already ~~Many bills have been passed by Congress and many more rest in its dockets for consideration to rectify the worst current practices.~~ However, there is yet no justification for ~~great~~ optimism since the pollution problems are just beginning to be recognized, and the solutions proposed will certainly be met with strong and organized opposition from the polluters. ~~who regard rivers as their righful means for disposing of waste products. They are treated~~ Rivers become nothing less than open sewers as municipalities pour raw sewage, and industries dump oily and chemical-laden effluents into them. They are used too as dumps for garbage and solid trash and even automobile carcasses. Expostulation against these practices are more often than not met with indifference or angry defense, for rivers in America have traditionally been ~~used as~~ regarded as a rightful place for disposing of the waste products and trash of civilization. Their beauty has gone unappreciated, their banks have been ravaged by inappropriate exploitation, their riparian life destroyed, and when they can no longer be used as super disposalls they are dammed up.

The Merimac on which Thoreau and his brother made their famous excursion more than a century ago is so badly polluted that it is unsafe to ride on it in a motor boat. So far has the raping of the rivers of the United States gone that one is hard pressed to find anywhere within its boundaries a pure unpolluted stream in its primeval state.

Our lakes have fared no better. Lake Erie, long used as a cess pool, is now largely destroyed as a living lake; disolved oxygen has been absorbed by decay, the fish are dead, to swim in it is to risk contracting enteric disease. As Lake Erie descends the ecological spiral it approaches the last abhorrent stage preceding sterility: a body of bubbling, stinking slime. Lake Michigan is headed for the same fate. To save it will require heroic effort to change the habits and practices of the people of four states. Lake Tahoe in the Sierra Nevada, which once held the clearest water in the world, is becoming green with alge from mistreatment by resort communities.

Debasement of the biotic environment by pollution of the water systems of the United States is far more immediately serious than by pollution of the atmosphere, although what the relative long term consequences might be is debatable. ~~From the short view,~~ Initially however, pollution of water destroys more life. ~~When certain chemicals enter water systems they quickly~~ Some pollutants kill by sheer quantity, by coating aquatic vegetation with oily films and suffocating the plants. The resulting decay ~~processes~~ removes disolved oxygen and destroys the fauna as happend in Lake Erie. Other pollutants - soluble chemicals - act as metabolic poisons in low concentrations. ~~They may kill a prey species which will cause the disappearance of predators and thus produce both direct and indirect damage to the aquatic ecological system.~~

If they kill a prey species they may cause the disappearance of a predator by removing its food supply and thus produce both a direct and an indirect effect on the ~~aa~~ acquatic ecology. All these damaging events disrupt ecological interrelationships to a greater or less degree and sometimes what appears to be a rather insignificant loss of life leads to unanticipated and surprisingly widespread destruction at other levels. Killing acquatic insects could lead to the starvation and disappearance of fish; inadvertant poisoning of crustaceans has resulted in a precipitous decline in wading bird populations with the abandonment of rookeries; eliminating acquatic plants has reduced fish, bird, and mammal populations.

A still greater anxiety is growing for what is happening to the environment, and its insidious consequences through the use of chemicals for biotic control, than ~~its~~ through ~~than for that caused by~~ pollution, although pollution is involved here too, ~~is being expressed~~ felt about the ~~application to the surface of the earth of chemicals for biotic control.~~ use. ~~There~~ Two general catagories of substances in common and increasing use are those designed to destroy life and those to stimulate it. To the first belong the insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides; to the second the fertilizers and growth stimulants. A class of chemicals developed since the war, which is being manufactured in enormous quantity and distributed throughout the world for the control of insects is the group of chlorinated hydrocarbons. They are artificial compounds unknown in nature. They ~~they~~ are non-selective, highly toxic nerve poisons active in extreme dilution. They are used to eliminate insect infestations of crops and forests and to eradicate noxious and disease-carrying insects. Their use has proved on the whole highly effective. Being water insoluble they are applied in emulsion, sprays or as dusts. Since they are ~~new to the environment~~ chemically stable, non-biodegradable, and new to the environment most organisms have ~~not~~ no evolved protection

against them, ~~and their use has proved on the whole highly effective. These properties, and particularly the non-specific action of the chlorinated hydrocarbons, are at the root of the growing alarm over their continued use.~~ The commonest chemical in this class is DDT.

The trouble with chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides is their non-specificity - they kill much more than the target species. They kill desirable as well as undesirable insects, but they kill also many higher animals. Rachel Carson in 1962 predicted the disasters which unrestrained application to the land of DDT and related chemicals would cause to animal life. She was ridiculed and condemned by the manufacturers and users alike of these chemicals, but her passimism has been overwhelmingly vindicated by subsequent events. The advocates of chlorinated hydrocarbons always put forward the alleged benefits ~~they bestow in more abundant crops, in more profitable forests, in less annoyance from biting insects, and disease control,~~ but belittle or deny the undesirable effects ~~properties~~. What are the disadvantages? They were not at first apparent, but it is now recognized that chlorinated hydrocarbons can have a devastating effect on life ~~the total ecology~~ far distant from the place of application. The properties shared by these substances which are most responsible for injury to life ~~their adverse behavior~~ are stability, insolubility in water and fat solubility. Inertness renders them non-metabolically degradable while their solubility properties make them difficult to eliminate ~~lead to~~ and lead to concentration in the lipids and fats of the animal bodies they enter. Among acquatic animals DDT and like substances enter the food chain at the lowest level by adsorption onto particles which settle to the bottom of lakes and ponds where they are eaten ~~ingested~~ by invertebrates living in the mud. Bottom feeding fish ingest ~~absorb~~ DDT ~~it~~ with their food, and being unable to do anything else ~~with them~~ deposit it ~~them~~ in their fatty tissues, ~~at a higher concentration than in their food~~.

Carvivorous fish eat the bottom feeders and again deposit the DDT in body fats. At each stage the concentration in the fats goes up until it becomes many thousand times higher than it was at the lowest level. When birds at the top of the food chain such as grebes and ospreys eat the larger fish they concentrate the hydrocarbon still further. But fatty tissues are not like the attics and cellars of houses where ~~unwanted~~ discarded possessions are stored away and forgotten. Fats take part in the economy of the animal and when concentrations of ~~unwanted~~ substances reach a certain level they begin to spill over in them producing insidious and lethal consequences. The primary lethal action of DDT as a nerve poison is explained by its inhibition of the enzyme associated with the transmission of nerve impulses. A more insidious action recently discovered in birds is a blocking of the enzyme-hormone complex involved with steroid metabolism in the liver. Interruption of the production of steroids implicated in the oestrus cycle of the female results in sterility; but still more far reaching, calcium metabolism related to egg shell formation is interfered with. Female birds which have ingested more than an unknown limiting quantity of DDT become sterile and lay infertile eggs; they may also lay eggs with fragile shells that do not survive incubation, or eggs with no shells at all. The bald eagle, the peregrin falcon, and the osprey, and the brown pelican are threatened with extinction in the United States through this process of interference with reproduction by DDT.

Song birds are also killed by DDT ~~which has been~~ sprayed from the air in insect control programs. Following these application many birds die quickly from nerve poisoning, and in experimental areas they have been found dead on their nests. Robins are destroyed

by the thousands by Dutch Elm disease control programs. In this case they die from eating earth worms in whose bodies DDT, washed into the soil from the treated elms, has become highly concentrated. Rachel Carson's gloomy prediction of a silent spring might well become a reality if the widespread spraying with DDT is not stopped. A very great obstacle to ending its use is bureaucratic inertia. For a long time after it became obvious that the fire ant eradication program in the Gulf states was not eradicating the fire ant, but was destroying wild life, was nevertheless continued, ~~even/over~~ despite the vigorous objection of congressmen from the staes involved. The same thing happened on a lower governmental level in Detroit in an attempt to eliminate the Japanese beetle. Eradication is a favorite term among entomologists in the Department of Agriculture although no extermination of insects by chemical poisons has ever been successful. The difficulty centers in the rate of reproduction of insects which produce many generations in one season providing the opportunity to develop mutant strains resistant to the poisons. The phenomenon of developed resistance has occured time and again with mosquitoes and various other insects. The immediate reaction to the appearance of resistant strains is to use more and greater concentrations of insecticide, which does no good, but does kill many higher forms of life. Those who defent the continued manufacture and use of DDT on the grounds that it has saved innumerable lives in malaria countries neber mention the resistance factor. It would be interesting to know in how many antimalarial programs ~~have~~ a shift to other chemicals has ~~occured~~ been necesary. A search for biodegradable anti-anophelese agents should certainly be undertaken.

More alarming consequences from the dissemination of chlorinated hydrocarbons throughout the environment are becoming

apparent. Owing to the low solubility in water of DDT and its allies, their ~~app~~ advent in the environment has gone largely unnoticed before the harm they produce has become evident. Thus, such minute amounts as one part in a trillion kills brine shrimps. Therefore to monitor the dispersion of these toxins by sampling the environment could be misleading. A sounder method, which will give a more realistic picture of the situation, is to analyze animals at various levels of the food chain in which the hydrocarbons are concentrated. The sensitivity of fresh water fish to DDT has long been known, but not until recent years has an influence on marine fisheries been observed. DDT enters the oceans from the land ~~and~~ is picked up by phytoplankton and ~~is~~ passed on up the food chain to the fishes among which it may eventually cause extensive die-offs. But it also acts to decrease photosynthesis by the phytoplankton. Since more than half the world's phtosynthesis is carried on by these single-celled algae, any significant reduction in their activity could have profound and possibly irreversible effects on the equilibrium of the bioshere. Reduction of photosynthesis will lead to gradual loss of atmospheric oxygen with serious consequences to all animal life including man.

Fertilizers washed from the land into rivers and lakes are disrupting hydrological ecology. Nitrates in excessive amounts cause blooms of algea that interfer with the normal biota of lakes, leading to the disapperance of many acquatic types. They have probably contributed to the degradation of Lake Erie. These untoward results could largely be obviated if the practice of over-fertilization (like the practice of over-spraying with pesticides) were discouraged, but it would require an educational program in conflict with sales campaigns of the fertilizer manufacturers. In some mid-western communities nitrates in the runeoff from farmlands have contaminated

water supplies rendering them undrinkable.

With spreading smog from industry and automobiles, with rising carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere from fossil fuel combustion, with declining production of oxygen by the phytoplankton, with increasing extinction of animals, the quality of human life is being degraded. The concern of conservationists with the inadvertant by-products and the effects of the byproducts of industrial civilization is exceeding their concern to conserve samples of untrammelled environment necessar and desirable as they are. All the preserved fragments of a once beautiful land, along with all the rest, will descent into the sink of total degradation if men do not practice a wiser custodianship of their heretage.

Why is it to our interest to preserve the environment in part in its primeval condition? Why should we not exploit it all, eliminating that which has no apparent value? In the first place, purely pragmatically, we are not omnicient; we know not, nor are we able to imaging, what material use we may yet discover for some element of the environment which we now regard as useless. We know not, nor may we ever know, enough about the complexities of world ecology to foresee the long range consequences of manipulation, which might well be to our disadvantage. But a still more compelling biological reason exists to eschew the temptation to simplify our environment. No people are wise enough to forcast with certainly the evolutionary potential of the mass of life. Indeed we have succeeded in tracing the histories of some individual species in their wanderings through the ages from their bones and footprints, and we can with some degree of certainty predict the future of a few others who seem to waver on the brink of extinction, but we posess little insight into the destiny

~~of the vast~~
a

of the vast majority of living things. What intelligent being would have predicted, with no clues to go by other than those available to it at the time, the splendid future that lay ahead for a shy, hairy, shrew-like animal who shared the Mesozoic swamps with the dinosaurs?

Evolution draws upon diversity. The abundance of life on this planet - its incredible variety - should make this statement selfevident. Out of the richness of life new organisms are steadily appearning to take the place of those that failed. The readiness with which replacements occur depend on the availability of new forms of life which potentially can adapt to new conditions, to the conditions under which the extinguished organisms failed to survive. The more life available there is, the more quickly the vacancy will be filled, and conversely the simpler the biota the longer the time required to fill the empty ecological nitches. Many oceanic islands illustrate this phenomenon of a simplified biota perpetuating itself. What happens on a limited scale in isolated areas, however, has little influence on the totality of world life, but when man, with his power for destruction, attacks the whole biota in the name of greater efficiency, in exploitation of his self-assumed interests, he runs the risk of carrying the simplification of the ecosystem beyond the point of recovery. Enough variety to fill the gaps he has created may not survive. Through ignorance he could so reduce the genetic reservoir that an unexpected disaster to any of the remaining species might threaten his own survival.

A more compelling argument for all who accept a longer view of man's dominance on this lonely satelite is that the quality of his tenure, so breathtakingly short, is inextricably interwoven with the lives of all his companions. He cannot do without them. A few pathogens could no doubt be dispensed with, but the vast majority

not confined alone to those he eats or weaves into shelter for his body, are essential to his welfare - to his psychic health. They enter into his life on many levels, enriching it, adding variety without which living becomes a wearisome monotony. It has been said that wildness is a luxury, a commodity with which man will be forced to dispense as his occupancy of the earth approaches saturation. If this comes about then he is finished. Wilderness must be preserved because wilderness is a spiritual necessity. Even though few visit wilderness areas they ~~are~~ remain an open back door, a safety valve, for those who never enter them but know they are there.

With wilderness all gone, paved over, plowed under, deforested, leveled by bulldozers, built on, and sterilized; with recreation mechanized, ~~regimented~~ comtrolled, regimented, and socialized; with all these in the face of increasing need to escape the dull, deadening humdrum of their selfimposed prisons, men will cease to lead biologically integrated lives because they will have destroyed the essential basis for participation in the total living community. Under these conditions meaning will go out of life, inspiration and joy will fade away, people willbecome apathetic, listless, and, if observations on other animals have any significance for humans, sexual drives will wither. ~~When~~ Then the birth rate will fall; no effort to reverse the trend could be sustained - the end result: extinction. Perhaps we see here nature's way, short of war or famine, of solving the population crisis, but,in the light of man's manifest intelligence, even without much wisdom to go with it, I find it difficult to accept such a solution. Before it is too late men ~~must~~ should, men must, awake to the hard facts of their inescapable selfinterest.

At the foundation of these awesome problems facing mankind is the elementary one of numbers - of how many people is consistent

with the health and survival of his species. Animals are not very good at this kind of self-appraisal. No species has ever voluntarily brought its multiplication to a halt, but then there never has existed before a creature endowed with a brain capable of self-examination. So perhaps at last the miracle of self-limitation will take place. Unless mankind does stop the runaway population growth and stabalizes his numbers at a level compatable with maximum enjoyment of the resources of the earth, all efforts to prevent degradation of the environment by pollution, ~~by insecticides~~, by ~~spreading~~ dissemination of chemicals, by misues of land, by improvident mining of resources are doomed to failure. And when these efforts fail the reservation of National Parks, wildernesses, and wild life preserves become meaningless because they will protect and preserve nothing.

The worldwide spurt in the human population which has been viewed with growing misgivings by conservationist, ecologist, demographers, and politicians alike is a direct consequence of the phenomenal advances in public health and medical science in this century. The series of technological break-throughs in medicine which have occured since 1900 have so drastically reduced the death rate in all the densely populated countries of the world that their populations have doubled and redoubled in this time. During the same period the productivity of the world has not kept pace with the growing numbers of people. Today a food deficite exists creating starvation conditions for millions in the underdeveloped countries in which violence, riots, and mindless slaughter are becoming ever more frequent phenomena. Within the next decade some ecologists predict - and the time is probably too late to prevent them - widespread famines will overwhelm densely populated regions of the world like India and South America.

Death by starvation is nature's way of re-establishing a balance between populations and food supplies, but it is not an orderly way; disruption of whole civilizations and chaos are the by-products. A heroic attempt is called for to ameleroate the situation by lowering the birth rate to bring it into corresponence with or below the death rate. Responsibilty for devising a program to realize a stable population rests with science, particularly with medical science, with support from government. William Vogt in Road to Survival in 1948 persuasively describes how modern medicine, blind to long term effects, has successfully reduced the death rate from disease in the most over-crouded countries without devoting comparable effort to birth control. The result has been a net increase in misery and hopelessness.

If doctors feel a moral obligation under the Aesculapian code to alliviate human suffering, and in the process of saving lives foster more suffering by raising the population density beyond the carrying capacity of available resources - and I mean intangible as well as tangible resources - then they have tacitly accepted an equal moral responsibility for preventing children being born into misery. To prevent death from small pox, malaria, cholera, and innumerable other diseases with no greater promise than a life of despair, with ultimate death from famine, is no fulfillment of the pledge. Better to die, or not to have been born, than kept alive for such a fate. Since the prospect for ~~scores~~ hundreds of millions of children yet to be born in this century is no less desperate, unless the trend is reversed, the obligation of the medical profession to work for a reduction of the birth rate is clear.